MINUTES OF THE ACADEMIC INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY  
OF THE ACADEMIC SENATE

CALIFORNIA STATE UNIVERSITY, FRESNO   
Fresno, California 93740-8023

Office of the Academic Senate

Telephone: 278-2743 FAX: 278-5745

December 14, 2015

Members Present: R. Amarasinghe, M. Bach, O. Benavides, J. Beynon, A. Espana-Najera, A. Iriberri, O. Leon (CIO), J. Michael (Technology Services – ex-officio), A. Nambiar, D. Nef, P. Newell, M. Pronovost (DISCOVERe), C. Vieira (TILT – ex-officio)

Members Excused: Y. Kim, B. Auernheimer, N. Stevens (ASI Representative), Kevin Ayotte (Senate Chair), T. Siechert

Guest: Lori Lamb (Chancellor’s Office)

Meeting called to order at 1.04pm by O. Benavides

1. Agenda: MSC to approve the Agenda of December 14, 2015
2. Minutes: MSC to approve the Minutes of November 16, 2015
3. Communication and Announcements
   1. P Newell informed that as part of his weekly podcast on copyright, he talked about 1201 Rule Making in his recent podcast. He will share the recording with the committee.
4. Technology Services – J Michael
   1. EDUROAM will be activated before Spring 2016.
      1. O Leon mentioned that around 15 CSU campuses have EDUROAM and eventually all 23 campuses would have this facility.
      2. P Newell inquired if EDUROAM is working as intended
         1. O Leon mentioned that it is working well on other campuses and that our ability to access EDUROAM at

other campuses is dependent on our own implementation.

* + 1. O Benavides mentioned that EDUROAM is quite popular and that he has seen it in other countries such as Spain, Hungary, and Singapore.
  1. Work is underway for external wireless services.
     1. O Leon mentioned that around 25 outdoor access points will be added around campus and the target is beginning of Spring 2016.
  2. O Leon inquired if we can create standing sub-committees for teaching and learning, administration, HR, web accessibility, research and specialized computing. These sub-committees will help the steering committee (IETECC) better manage its operations.
     1. Committee felt that this needs to be discussed further.

1. Lori Lamb – CO
   1. Common Human Resource System (CHRS) started 3 years ago but was stalled due to lack of clarity, budget, turnover etc.
   2. Every campus has a different installation of PeopleSoft. This impedes system wide upgrades and data analysis.
   3. This project will consolidate to one installation of PeopleSoft 9.2. The underlying database has a common one for both student and HR.
   4. For the common system, the database has to be separated for HR and Students. This will help lower operating and maintenance cost. It will improve data capability across campuses.
   5. A Iriberri inquired what applications support students in PeopleSoft. L Lamb informed that she does not have enough information about it.
   6. D Nef informed that our campus has introduced numerous modifications to PeopleSoft and inquired how these will be accommodated.
      1. L Lamb informed that 9.2 version has more features. There will be design teams with subject matter experts from various campuses who would then determine which features can be carried forward.
   7. C Vieira inquired about the benefit of going to common human resource.
      1. L Lamb mentioned that it is important to get to a common set of business practices and look at data more effectively. IT will also allow us to look at cloud-based solutions or SaaS. L

Lamb informed that it takes 3 years to get one upgrade and this is unsustainable.

1. Classroom Furniture Taskforce Report – J Beynon
   1. J Beynon informed that the survey is completed and is ready to go. C Vieira informed that all display items are in boxes in Studio 2 and need to be setup.
   2. J Beynon informed that next semester would be more appropriate to circulate the survey.
   3. J Beynon inquired if every college has a representative on this committee. O Benavides mentioned that not all colleges are represented. J Beynon will send information to D Nef to be sent to Deans list and Chair's list.
   4. A Espana mentioned that we need to determine the time frame. The consensus was the time frame would be around 2 weeks.
   5. D Nef informed that customized email would be good so that reminders can be targeted. D Nef would send information to Deans and Chairs
2. TILT / CSALT / DISCOVERe Update – C Vieira
   1. Next year, the focus is on project-based learning. A survey will go out to gage how many faculty are using project-based learning in their classes. A team of 5-7 will be selected for training at the PBL institute in Boston in summer.
   2. There is also a course-redesign with technology initiative. B Berret is leading up that effort.
   3. Around 80 faculty are part of the DISCOVERe this coming semester and part of their training would include classroom redesign with technology (CRT) training.
   4. As for the device selection, the idea is to narrow down to 2 models - Surface Pro and iPad - to help with training and tech support.
   5. O Leon informed that at the faculty laptop committee there was discussion about nominating one individual and then putting together a committee for device selection for DISCOVERe.
   6. The committee discussed in general about faculty laptop and tablets - the duplication of resources, etc.
   7. O Leon mentioned that there is technology for virtual data interface at Stanford where irrespective of type of device, tasks can be accomplished via the tablet.
   8. P Newell mentioned that there is another software/vendor called Application Paging is used to allow for Citrix-like services at much lower cost.
3. ED 169 Presentation – O Benavides
   1. O Benavides demonstrated the various features of the room.
4. Old Business - none
5. New Business
   1. O Leon shared some thoughts about technology related issues. The key factors are:
      1. Proactive – O Leon is currently exploring the possibility of creating a role who would go out and discuss technology needs with various people and direct / connect to appropriate resources.
      2. Communication – O Leon mentioned that perhaps a published catalog or a service-level agreements on what happens when a ticket is created etc. might help improve communication.
      3. Innovation – O Leon suggested having a Center for Innovation that would help with being proactive and improving communication as well.
   2. O Leon has been meeting with the Deans, and other stakeholders and gathering feedback.
   3. O Benavides mentioned that it is very important for faculty to have a voice. IT would be good to ask faculty what they want to do and then point them to people who could guide them with the technological tools.

Adjourned at 2.29pm